enel×

Future of Distributed Energy Resources in NE

Raab Roundtable Philip Martin, *Vice President*

December 13, 2019



Note: This presentation contains privileged and confidential information. Do not distribute.

"Capital Free" Solar + Storage

Making PV+S pencil for the University of Massachusetts, Boston

ſ۶ 0.5 MW/2 MWh

lithium-ion battery storage system

再

1 MW rooftop solar PV system

ß

11

smart electric vehicle charging stations for public use



\$1.9M in projected financial value for UMass Boston



- - Utility demand response
 - ITC, SMART compliance
 - Grid services revenues realized via smart EV chargers also shared between Enel and UMass Boston.



- Zero upfront capital investment by UMass that will deliver almost **\$2M in energy savings**.
- Enel's fees are entirely performance-based with fully aligned incentives. Particularly important with complex Application Stacking.
- While TPO solar with performance-based commercials (e.g., PPA) is not new, much of the BTM storage space still requires customers to bear merchant or performance risk.
- Revenue Stacking, underwritten by Enel X:
 - Demand charge management
 - Coincident peak management 0
 - ISO-NE capacity + energy + reserves

NWS via Customer-Sited FTM Storage

Innovative project represents potential for New England

- NWS solutions with C&I customers have historically focused on BTM projects. Limited market and suitability.
- Customer-sited FTM divorces business case from customer load profile and demand level, increasing addressable market and enabling economies of scale.
- Under this model, **Host receives lease payment**, not energy management services.
- **Monetizes unused facility footprint**, allowing Related to increase asset value while simultaneously contributing to a cleaner, more reliable electric grid.
- Gateway Mall is the **largest energy storage system in NYC**, a uniquely challenging permitting environment.
- Beyond providing NWS contracted capacity to ConEd, the project also participates in **additional grid services**.





Strong regulatory frameworks enabled this cost-effective alternative to a \$1.2B substation.